arXiv:math/0510015v1 [math.GR] 2 Oct 2005

A new graph related to conjugacy classes of finite groups *

Xingzhong You
School of Mathematics and Computing Science, Changsha University
of Science and Technology , Changsha, Hunan, 410077, P. R. China
E-mail: xzyou2003@126.com

Guohua Qian
Department of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology,
Changshu, Jiangsu, 215500, P.R.China
E-mail: ghqian2000@yahoo.com.cn

Wujie Shi
School of Mathematics, Suzhou University,
Suzhou, Jiangsu, 215006, P.R. China
E-mail: wjshi@suda.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper we classify the finite groups satisfying the following property P_4: their orders of representatives are set-wise relatively prime for any 4 distinct non-central conjugacy classes.

1. Introduction Let G be a finite group and V the set of the non-central conjugacy classes of G. From lengths of conjugacy classes, the following class graph $\Gamma(G)'$ was introduced in [1]: its vertex set is the set V, and two distinct vertices x^G and y^G are connected with an edge if $(|x^G|, |y^G|) > 1$. Similarly, in terms of orders of elements, we may attach a graph $\Gamma(G)$ to G as follows: its vertex set is also the set V, and two distinct vertices x^G and y^G are connected with an edge if $(o(x), o(y)) > 1$. Thus a new conjugacy class graph is defined.

---

*Project supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 10571128), the NSF of Hunan (Grant No. 04JJY4001) and the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 04C116).

The class graph $\Gamma(G)'$ has been studied in some detail: see for example [1], [2], [4] and [9]. In [9], the authors have studied the structure of a finite group G with the following property: If for every prime integer p, G has at most $n-1$ conjugacy classes whose size is a multiple of p. In particular, they have classified the finite groups when $n = 5$, extending the result of Fang and Zhang [4].

Inspired by [9], we study the structure of a finite group G when its class graph $\Gamma(G)$ has no subgraph K_n, the complete graph with n vertices. First we observe from Lemma 1 that $\Gamma(G)$ has no subgraph K_n if and only if for any n distinct vertices $x_1^G, x_2^G, \ldots, x_n^G$ in $\Gamma(G)$, the numbers $o(x_1), o(x_2), \ldots, o(x_n)$ are set-wise relatively prime, i.e., $(o(x_1), o(x_2), \ldots, o(x_n)) = 1$. Consequently our problem can be modified as follows. We say that a group G satisfies property P_n if for every prime integer p, G has at most $n-1$ non-central conjugacy classes whose order of representative is a multiple of p. Thus $\Gamma(G)$ does not have a subgraph K_n if and only if G satisfies property P_n.

The goal of this paper is to classify the finite groups that satisfy property P_4.

Theorem A *Let G be a finite group that satisfies property P_4. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

(i) *an abelian group;*

(ii) *The Frobenius group with complement of order 2 and kernel C_3, C_5 or C_7;*

(iii) *The Frobenius group with complement of order 3 and kernel $(C_2)^2$ or C_7;*

(iv) $S_3 \times C_2$ *or* $\langle x, y | x^3 = 1, y^4 = 1, xy = yx^{-1} \rangle$;

(v) D_8 *or* Q_8;

(vi) *The Frobenius group with complement of order 4 and kernel C_5, C_9, $(C_3)^2$ or C_{13}; or*

(vii) $L_2(5)$, $L_2(7)$, $L_2(9)$, $L_2(11)$, $L_2(13)$, A_7, *or* $Sz(8)$.

Conversely, all these groups satisfy property P_4.

The proof of this theorem makes use of the classification theorem of finite simple groups.

Throughout this paper, $\pi(n)$ denotes the set of all prime divisors of a natural number n; G denotes a finite group, set $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$; for an element x of G, $o(x)$ denotes the order of x, and set $\pi(x) = \pi(o(x))$, $\pi_e(G)$ denotes the set of all orders of elements in G; a class always means a conjugacy class, and x^G denotes the class containing x; if $A \subseteq G$, let $k_G(A)$ be the number of classes of G that intersect A nontrivially. All further unexplained notation is standard.

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we will present some preliminary results that we will need to prove Theorem A. We begin with the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a finite group. Then G satisfies property P_n if and only if $\Gamma(G)$ has no subgraph K_n.*

Proof. We only need to prove the necessity.

Suppose that $\Gamma(G)$ has a subgraph K_n with n vertices $x_1^G, x_2^G, \ldots, x_n^G$. If $Z(G) > 1$, take $y(\neq 1) \in Z(G)$, then for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we conclude that $x_i y \in G - Z(G)$ and $(x_i y)^G$ are n distinct classes in G. But $o(y)|o(x_i y)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, a contradiction. It follows that $Z(G) = 1$. Again, if $o(x_i) = p^k$ for some i and prime p, then $p|o(x_j)$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$, also a contradiction. Therefore, we have $|\pi(x_i)| \geq 2$ for every i. By induction we can assume that $p|o(x_i)(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ for some prime p. Let z be the p-part of x_1. We conclude that $p|o(z)$ and $z^G, x_1^G, x_2^G, \ldots, x_{n-1}^G$ are n distinct non-central classes, also a contradiction. □

Lemma 2. *Let G be a finite group that satisfies property P_n. Then property P_n is inherited by quotient groups of G.*

Proof. Let N be a normal subgroup of G and $xN \in G/N$. Since $o(xN)|o(x)$ and $(xN)^{G/N}$, when viewed as a subset of G, is a union of some classes of G, the result follows.

We also use the following result that is taken from [5].

Lemma 3. *Suppose that G is a non-abelian simple group whose Sylow 2-subgroups are abelian. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following:*
$L_2(q), q > 3, q \equiv 3,\ 5(mod\, 8)$ or $q = 2^f$, J_1, a Ree group

From Lemma 3, we conclude that if G is a non-abelian simple group different from the ones in Lemma 3, then the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are non-abelian and so $4 \in \pi_e(G)$.

Recall the prime graph of a finite group G is defined as follows: its vertex set is $\pi(G)$, and two distinct vertices p and q are connected with an edge if $pq \in \pi_e(G)$. Set $\pi = \{p|2$ is connected with p and $p \in \pi(G)\}$.

In the following we determine the non-abelian simple groups that satisfy property P_4.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a non-abelian simple group that satisfies property P_4. Then $G = L_2(5)$, $L_2(7)$, $L_2(9)$, $L_2(11)$, $L_2(13)$, A_7 or $Sz(8)$.*

Proof. Since G satisfies property P_4, we conclude from [3] that G is not of sporadic type. If G is of Alternating type, it follows from [11] that $2, 4, 6, 8 \in \pi_e(G)$ provided that

$n \geq 10$, a contradiction. Therefore, $5 \leq n \leq 9$ and hence $G = A_5, A_6$ or A_7 by [3] again, where $A_5 \cong L_2(5)$ and $A_6 \cong L_2(9)$.

Now, assume that G is of Lie type with characteristic p and r is the number of classes of involutions in G. We split our argument into two parts: p is odd and $p = 2$.

1. p is odd.

(1) Let G be of type $A_n(q), n \geq 1$.

We have $r \geq 2$ if $n \geq 3$. On the other hand, if $n \geq 2$, then $4 \in \pi_e(G)$. Also $|\pi| \geq 1$. It follows that $r = 1$. Therefore $n = 1$ or 2.

If $n = 1$, then $G = A_1(q) \cong L_2(q)$, where $q \geq 5$. Let $x, y \in G$ such that $o(x) = \frac{q-1}{2}, o(y) = \frac{q+1}{2}$, we conclude that $|\pi(x)| \leq 2$ and $|\pi(y)| \leq 2$.

Suppose that $q \equiv 1 (mod\, 4)$. Let $m = \frac{q-1}{4}$, $s = \frac{q+1}{2}$. Since $(y^i)^G (1 \leq i \leq m)$ are m distinct classes in G, we conclude that if s is not prime, then $s \geq 9$ and hence $m \geq 4$, thus G has at least four distinct classes $y^G, (y^2)^G, (y^3)^G$ and $(y^4)^G$. Clearly $o(y) = o(y^2) = o(y^4) = s$ and $1 \neq o(y^3) | s$, a contradiction. This implies that s is prime and $s \leq 7$. It follows that $q = 5, 9$ or 13 and $G = L_2(5)$, $L_2(9)$ or $L_2(13)$.

Suppose that $q \equiv 3 (mod\, 4)$. Let $m = \frac{q-3}{4}$, $s = \frac{q-1}{2}$. Since $(x^i)^G (1 \leq i \leq m)$ are m distinct classes in G, using a similar argument, we conclude that $q = 7$ or 11 and $G = L_2(7)$ or $L_2(11)$.

If $n = 2$, then $G = A_2(q)$. It follows that there is a centralizer of type $A_0(q) \times A_1(q)$. We have $|\pi| \leq 2$, a contradiction.

(2) Let G be one of the following types: $B_n(q) (n \geq 3)$, $C_n(q) (n \geq 2)$, $D_n(q) (n \geq 4)$, $G_2(q)$, $F_4(q)$, $E_6(q)$, $E_8(q)$, ${}^2A_n(q^2) (n \geq 2)$, ${}^2D_n(q^2) (n \geq 4)$ or ${}^2E_6(q^2)$.

Then $r \geq 2$ and $|\pi| \geq 1$. But $4 \in \pi_e(G)$, a contradiction.

(3) Let G be of type $E_7(q)$.

Clearly, $4 \in \pi_e(G)$. If $q = 3$, then $r = 3$, a contradiction. If $q > 3$, then the prime graph of G is connected. When $q \equiv 1 (mod\, 4)$, there is a maximal torus T in G such that $|T| = \frac{1}{2}(q^3+1)(q^2+q+1)^2$. Clearly $\pi(|T|) \geq 3$. Since T is abelian, we can find an element $x \in T$ such that $|\pi(x)| \geq 3$, a contradiction. When $q \equiv 3 (mod\, 4)$, there are 60 classes of maximal tori in G, all of which have even order, then $|\pi| \geq 2$, also a contradiction.

(4) Let G be of type ${}^3D_4(q^3)$.

Then $r = 1$ and $|C_G(t)| = \frac{1}{2}q^4(q^2-1)(q^6-1)$ for an involution t in G. We have $|\pi| \geq 2$. Also, $4 \in \pi_e(G)$, a contradiction.

(5) Let G be of type ${}^2G_2(q), q = 3^{2m+1}, m \geq 1$.

By [5, Chap XI Theorem 13.2] , we have $r = 1$ and $C_G(t) = \langle t \rangle \times L_2(q)$ for an involution t in G. It follows that $|\pi| \geq 3$, a contradiction.

2. $p = 2$.

(6) Let G be of type $A_n(q), n \geq 1$.

Then $r = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$. Arguing as in (1), we have $r = 1$, which implies that $n = 1$ or 2.

If $n = 1$, then $G = A_1(q) \cong L_2(q), q = 2^f \geq 4$. Let $x, y \in G$ such that $o(x) = q - 1$ and $o(y) = q + 1$. It follows that $|\pi(x)| \leq 2$ and $|\pi(y)| \leq 2$. Since $(x^i)^G (1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-2}{2})$ are $\frac{q-2}{2}$ distinct classes in G, arguing in a similar way in (1), we conclude that $q = 4$ and $G = L_2(4)$.

If $n = 2$, take an involution $t \in G$, then $\pi(C_G(t)) = \pi(\frac{2(q-1)}{(3,\ q-1)})$. Note that there is a maximal torus in G of order $\frac{(q^2-1)}{(3,\ q-1)}$ and $\pi(\frac{(q^2-1)}{(3,\ q-1)}) \subseteq \pi_1$. If $q = 2^f > 4$, then one of $q+1$ and $q-1$ is not prime. We conclude that there is a prime divisor of $q-1$ such that it must be connected with other three distinct primes in $\pi(G)$, a contradiction. It follows that $q = 2$ or 4. By [3], We have $G = L_3(2) \cong L_2(7)$.

(7) Let G be one of the following types: $C_n(q)(n \geq 2), E_6(q)$ or ${}^2E_6(q^2)$.

Then $r = n + [\frac{n}{2}](\geq 3), 3$ or 3, respectively. But $4 \in \pi_e(G)$, a contradiction.

(8) Let G be one of the following types: $D_n(q)(n \geq 4)$, $E_7(q)$, $E_8(q)$, $F_4(q)$ or ${}^2D_n(q^2)(n \geq 4)$.

Then $r = n + (-1)^n(\geq 4), 5, 4, 4$ or $2[\frac{n}{2}](\geq 4)$, respectively, a contradiction.

(9) Let G be one of the following types: $G_2(q), q > 2$, ${}^3D_4(q^3)$, ${}^2F_4(q), q > 2$ or ${}^2F_4(2)'$.

Then $r = 2$. But $4 \in \pi_e(G)$ and $|\pi| \geq 1$, a contradiction. □

(10) Let G be of type ${}^2A_n(q^2), n \geq 2$.

Then $r = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$. Since $4 \in \pi_e(G)$ and $|\pi| \geq 1$, we conclude that $r = 1$ and $n = 2$. Let t be an involution of G. Then $\pi(C_G(t)) = \pi(\frac{2(q^2+1)}{(3,\ q^2+1)})$. Note that there is a maximal torus in G of order $\frac{(q^4-1)}{(3,\ q^2+1)}$ and $\pi(\frac{(q^4-1)}{(3,\ q^2+1)}) \subseteq \pi_1$. Arguing as in (6), we conclude that $q^2 = 4$ and $G = {}^2A_2(4)$, a contradiction by [3].

(11) Let G be of type ${}^2B_2(q)(\cong Sz(q)), q = 2^{2m+1}, m \geq 1$.

Applying [5, Chap XI Lemma 11.6] , we have that there are $\frac{q}{2} - 1$ classes of elements of order $q - 1$ in G. If $m \geq 3$, then $\frac{q}{2} - 1 \geq 63$, a contradiction. It follows that $m = 1$ or 2 and hence $G = Sz(8)$ or $Sz(32)$. We have $G = Sz(8)$ by [3]. □

3. Proof of Theorem A. Now, we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem A. It is easy to check that the groups listed in Theorem A satisfy property P_4.

Now we split the classification into two parts. In Theorem 7 we classify the groups that satisfy P_4 and $G'Z(G) < G$ and in Theorem 8 we classify the ones that satisfy P_4 and $G'Z(G) = G$.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a finite group with $G'Z(G) < G$. If G satisfies property P_4, then G is one of the solvable groups listed in* (ii)-(vi) *in Theorem A.*

Proof. Let $M = G'Z(G)$ and $p \in \pi(G/M)$. Take $xM \in G/M$ such that $o(xM) = p$. Since G/M is abelian, it follows that there are at least $p-1$ classes of elements of order p in G/M. Note that $o(xM)|o(x)$ and xM, when viewed as a subset of G, is a union of some classes of G, we conclude that G has at least $p-1$ non-central classes whose order of representative is a multiple of p. Therefore, $p-1 \leq 3$, i.e., $p = 2$ or 3. Furthermore, $|G/M| = 2$, 3 or 4 and $k_G(G-M) \leq 3$.

1. Suppose that $k_G(G-M) = 1$.

It follows from [10, Proposition 2.1] that G is a Frobenius group with kernel M and M is abelian of odd order $\frac{|G|}{2}$. This implies that $Z(G) = 1$ and $M = G'$. Since G satisfies property P_4, we conclude that $M \in Syl_p(G)$ and thus $k_G(M - \{1\}) \leq 3$. It follows that $\frac{|M|-1}{2} \leq 3$ and hence $|M| \leq 7$. We deduce that G is the group in (ii).

2. Suppose that $k_G(G-M) = 2$.

Applying [10, Theorem 2.2], we get the following two cases.

(2.a) $|G/M| = 3$ and G is a Frobenius group with kernel M.

Similarly, we have $M \in Syl_p(G)$ and $k_G(M - \{1\}) \leq 3$. If M is abelian, we conclude that G is one of the groups in (iii). If M is non-abelian, then $k_G(Z(M) - \{1\}) \leq 2$. Assume first that $k_G(Z(M) - \{1\}) = 2$. We deduce that $|Z(M)| = 7$ and M is a 7-group. Also we have that $M - Z(M) = x^G$ and $|x^G| = 3 \cdot 7^k$. Let $|M| = 7^r$. Then $7^r = 3 \cdot 7^k + 7$, which has no solution, a contradiction. Now, assume that $k_G(Z(M) - \{1\}) = 1$. We have $|Z(M)| = 4$ and M is a 2-group. Let $|M| = 2^r$. If $M - Z(M) = x^G$, then $|x^G| = 3 \cdot 2^k$ and hence $2^r = 3 \cdot 2^k + 4$, which implies $2^k = 4$; if $M - Z(M) = x^G \cup y^G$, let $|x^G| = 3 \cdot 2^k \leq 3 \cdot 2^s = |y^G|$, then $2^r = 3 \cdot 2^s + 3 \cdot 2^k + 4$, which forces $(p^k, p^s, p^r) = (4, 16, 64)$. We conclude that there is always an element such that its centralizer in G is of order 4. By [10, Lemma 1.3], M is the dihedral, semi-dihedral or generalized quaternion group. This forces $|Z(M)| = 2$, also a contradiction.

(2.b) $|G/M| = 2$ and $|C_G(x)| = 4$ for any $x \in G - M$.

Applying [10, Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 2.2(3)], we can see that $Z(G) > 1$. Since $|C_G(x)| = 4$ for any $x \in G - M$, it follows that $|Z(G)| = 2$. Take $x \in G - M$, we conclude that $o(xZ(G)) = 2$ and $|C_{G/Z(G)}(xZ(G))| = 2$ and thus $xZ(G)$ acts fixed point freely on $M/Z(G)$, so $G/Z(G)$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $M/Z(G)$. Clearly $M/Z(G)$ is a p-group, it follows that $\frac{|M/Z(G)|-1}{2} \leq 3$ and hence $|M/Z(G)| = 3, 5$ or 7, so $|G| = 12, 20$ or 28. We deduce that G is the group in (iv)

3. Suppose that $k_G(G-M) = 3$. Let $G - M = x^G \cup y^G \cup z^G$.

If G is non-solvable, then [10, Theorem 3.5] will yield a contradiction.

If G is solvable, then by [10, Theorem 3.6] we get the following three cases.

(3.a) $G \cong D_8$ or Q_8, thus G is one of the groups in (v).

(3.b) G is a Froubenius group with kernel M and cyclic complement of order 4.

(3.c) $|G/M| = 2$, $|C_G(x)| = |C_G(y)| = |C_G(z)| = 6$, $o(x) = 2$, $o(y) = 6$ and $z = y^{-1}$. And in this case, M is of odd order and M has a normal and abelian 3-complement.

For case (3.b), arguing as in (1), we have $M \in Syl_p(G)$ and $k_G(M - \{1\}) \leq 3$. It follows that $\frac{|M|-1}{4} \leq 3$ and hence $|M| \leq 13$. We conclude that G is the group in (vi).

We claim that case (3.c) does not hold. If this is false, we can see that $Z(G) = 1$ and $M = G'$. Let N be a normal and abelian 3-complement of M. Then N is a normal and abelian $\{2, 3\}$-complement of G. If $N > 1$, note that since there are 2 G-classes of elements of order 6 in $G - M$, then $M - N$ is exactly one class of elements of order 3 in G. It follows from [10, Lemma 1.2] that M is a Frobenius group with kernel N and $|M/N| = 3$. We conclude that $G/N \cong S_3$ and thus G is 2-Froubenius. This forces $6 \notin \pi_e(G)$, a contradiction. Hence $N = 1$, thus M is a 3-group and $k_G(M - \{1\}) = 1$. Therefore, M is abelian. This implies that for any $1 \neq a \in M$, we have $M \leq C_G(a)$. It follows that $|M| - 1 = \frac{|G|}{|C_G(a)|} \leq |G/M|$, which forces that $|M| = 3$ and so $|G| = 6$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 6 *Let G be a non-solvable finite group with $G'Z(G) = G$. If G satisfies property P_4, then G is one of the groups listed in* (vii) *in Theorem A.*

Proof. Since $G'Z(G) = G$, it follows that $(G/Z(G))' = G'Z(G)/Z(G) = G/Z(G)$. Thus there exists a normal subgroup N of G with $N \geq Z(G)$ such that G/N is a non-abelian simple group. Since property P_4 is inherited by quotient groups of G, we conclude from Lemma 4 that G/N is isomorphic to one of the following simple groups: $L_2(5)$, $L_2(7)$, $L_2(9)$, $L_2(11)$, $L_2(13)$, A_7, $Sz(8)$.

Now it suffices to prove that N is trivial. Suppose that this is not true and let G be a minimal counterexample. Then N is a minimal normal subgroup of G.

Suppose first that N is non-abelian. Then $N = N_1 \times \ldots \times N_s$ is a direct product of isomorphic simple groups N_i. Observe that $Out(N)$ is solvable whenever N is simple. We may assume $s > 1$. Take $x_1 \in N_1$ and $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in N_2$ such that $o(x_1) = o(y_1) = 2$, $o(y_2) = p$, $o(y_3) = q$, where $2, p$ and q are three distinct primes. We see that x_1, x_1y_1, x_1y_2 and x_1y_3 lie in distinct classes, a contradiction.

Suppose now that N is a p-group and $C_G(N) > N$. Then $N = Z(G)$. If $G' \cap N = 1$, then $G = G' \times N$ since $G = G'Z(G)$, where G' is the simple group listed above. By [3], we can see easily a contradiction. Therefore, $N = Z(G) \leq G'$ and so $G = G'$. It follows that N is the Schur multiplier of the simple group G/N. Again by [3], we can deduce a contradiction by checking all possibilities of G/N. We conclude that $C_G(N) = N$.

Suppose then that N is a p-group of order p^r with $p \notin \pi(G/N)$ and $C_G(N) = N$. In this case, to find a contradiction we take $G/N \cong L_2(5)$ as an example. Assume that $p \notin \pi(G/N)$. Then $G = NK$ by Schur-Zassenhaus' Theorem, where $K \cong L_2(5)$. Let

$Y < K$ be a Frobenius group order 12, $x \in Y$ of order 3, and P a Sylow 2-subgroup of Y. Applying Theorem 15.16 of [6], we see that $|C_N(x)| = p^{r/3}$, and in particular $3p \in \pi_e(G)$. Observe that $p, 2p \in \pi_e(G)$ since P does not act fixed point freely on N. It follows that G has exactly one class of elements of order $3p$. Clearly all elements of order 3 lie in one class. Thus $x^K N = x^G \cup y^G$, where y is of order $3p$. This implies that

$$15p^r = \frac{60p^r}{3p^{r/3}} + \frac{60p^r}{|C_G(y)|},$$

and thus $|C_G(y)| = 12p^{r/3}/(3p^{r/3} - 4)$, this is not an integer, a contradiction.

Suppose finally that N is a p-group with $p \in \pi(G/N)$ and $C_G(N) = N$. If $G/N \cong L_2(5), L_2(7)$ or $L_2(9)$, then $|\pi(G)| = 3$ and so $k_G(G) \leq 10$; if $G/N \cong L_2(11), L_2(13)$ or A_7, then $|\pi(G)| = 4$, so $k_G(G) \leq 13$ and $k_G(G - N) \leq 10$. We conclude from [7] that $N = 1$, a contradiction. Now assume that $G/N \cong Sz(8)$. Observe that $Sz(8)$ has exactly one class of involutions, two classes of elements of order 4, three classes of elements of order 7, and three classes of elements of order 13, it follows that N is a 5-group. Since G/N has Frobenius subgroups of order 14, we conclude that $10 \in \pi_e(G)$ by [8, Lemma 1], and it follows that G has at least four distinct classes with representatives of even orders, also a contradiction. □

Remark. In the proof of Theorem 6, we make use of the classification of finite groups with few conjugacy classes given in the papers in [7]. It would be possible to give an independent proof, but this would make the proof longer.

References

[1] Bertram, E. A., Herzog, M. and Mann, A., On a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **22**, *569-575(1990).*

[2] Chillag, D., Herzog, M. and Mann, A., On the diameter of a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **25**, *255-262(1993).*

[3] Conway, J. H., Curtis, R. I., Norton, S. P., Parker, R. A., Wilson, R. A. “Atlas of finite groups”. Oxford and New York: Oxford Univ. Press(Clarendon), 1985.

[4] Fang, M., Zhang, P., Finite groups with graphs without triangles. *J. Algebra* **264**, *613-619(2003).*

[5] Huppert, B., Blackburn, N., “Finite groups III”. Berlin Heideberg New York: Springer-verlag, 1982.

[6] Isaacs, I. M., Character theory of finite groups, New York: Academic Press, 1976.

[7] López, A. V. and López, J. V., Classification of finite groups according to the number of conjugacy classes I, II. *Israel. J. Math.* **51***, 305-338(1985);* **56***, 188-221(1986).*

[8] Mazurov, V. D., Characterizations of finite groups by sets of orders of their elements. *Algebra and Logik* **(1)36***, 23-32(1997).*

[9] Moretó, A., Qian, G. and Shi, W., Finite groups whose conjugacy class graphs have few vertices. *Arch. math.* **85***, 101-107(2005).*

[10] Qian, G. Shi, W. and You, X., Conjugacy classes outside a normal subgroup. *Comm. in Algebra* **32***, 4809-4820(2004).*

[11] Zavarnitis, A. and Mazurov, V. D., Element orders in coverings of symmetric and alternating groups. *Algebra and Logik* **(3)38***, 159-170(1997).*